### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M 125893 Alaskan Copper Works Client: Project: Metro Self Monitor Date Received: 08/12/10 Lab ID: 008145-01 x10 Date Extracted: 08/13/10 008145-01 x10.069 Data File: Date Analyzed: 08/16/10 Matrix: Instrument: ICPMS1 Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Operator: AP

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 82 60 125

33.8

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 263 208 Nickel 216 Copper

Zinc

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Alaskan Copper Works Client ID: Method Blank Client: Date Received: Not Applicable Metro Self Monitor Project: Date Extracted: 08/12/10 Lab ID: I0-436 mb I0-436 mb.063 Date Analyzed: 08/16/10 Data File: Matrix: Water Instrument: ICPMS1 Units: Operator: AP ug/L (ppb)

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Germanium 103 60 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1
Nickel <1
Copper <1
Zinc <5

### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 08/18/10 Date Received: 08/12/10

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M 125893, F&BI 008145

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 008087-01 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent			
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD	
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)	
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.14	107	103	67-132	4	
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.92	110	105	73-119	5	
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	83.4	105 b	73 b	50-144	36 b	
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	808	141 b	67 b	46-148	71 b	

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent								
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance					
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria					
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	66-135					
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	67-134					
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	106	66-134					
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	100	57-135					

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

#### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- $\mbox{d} v$  Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- $\boldsymbol{J}$  The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- ${
  m jl}$  The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\mbox{nm}$  The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\mbox{pr}$  The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- ${\bf x}$  The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

008145		*	SAM	APLE CHA	IN OF C	UST(	DDY	1	ME.	8/	12/	(0		AIG	
	SAMPLERS	signature)		1117					Page#of						
Send Report To Serato THOMPSON								_		Ω#		TURNAROUND TIME			
Company ALASKAN CORPER Works			6	PROJECT NAME/NO. PO#					DARI.	Standard (2 Weeks)					
Address 628 S. HANSORD ST			METTO SEIS Moviton M 125893					Rush charges authorized by:							
City State ZIP SEATT	E WA	9813		REMARKS						SAMPLE DISPOSAL  Dispose after 30 days					
City, State, ZIP Seine was 98134				* .						□ Re	turn s	amples			
Phone # 206-574-603	5 Fax # 20	<u>6-382-9</u>	1309									ll call	with inst	ructions	
								A	NALY	SES REQI	JESTED			1	
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	FR-Casoune FTEX by 8021B	VOC8 by 8260	SVOCs by 8270 HFS	Cu 122 24				Notes	
						TP	PTE	NOC	SVO	000					
M 125893	01	8/12/10	11:15A	H20	/ /		11			X	1 .			7	
		,	-											71 ACHEN LOS 200	
	<b>-</b>						+	+	+			+-	<del> </del>		
					i i								L		
<u> </u>					135				-						
					1	$\vdash$	$\Box$	$\top$	+			$\top$			
•							$\Box$	1	1			1			
*							$\Box$	1	1						
y' a a							T	1				1	-		
							T	$\top$	+						
							$\Box$	$\dashv$				1			
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIGNATUI	RE		PRINT	NAME			1	COMP	ANY	1	DATE	. TIME	
3012 16th Avenue West				Gorses Thera				1	ACAJ Spelo 1:10 Pm						
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Received by:	HONG NGWAN FRE					11 ==			1.50(7)					
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished by	1	3	17070	4 10	H		7/1		1 1/3			<i>V</i> ,		
Fax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	V. C		_	-							+		<b>-</b>	
FORMS\COC\COC.DOC		-					Sam	ples	recer	red at 2	25°C	_1_			

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

August 18, 2010

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on August 12, 2010 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M 125893, F&BI 008145 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0818R.DOC